

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DARRYL G. CLEWIES, II,

Plaintiff,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF CHILD SUPPORT
SERVICES, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-01733-DAD-SCR

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this action, which is referred to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On June 20, 2025, Plaintiff filed a complaint and a motion to proceed in forma pauperis (“IFP”). ECF Nos. 1 and 2. On July 15, 2025, this Court screened the complaint per the screening process required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2) and found the complaint deficient in that it did not comply with Rule 8 and failed to state a claim. ECF No. 4. The Court’s order provided Plaintiff 30 days to file an amended complaint that addresses the defects set forth in the order. ECF No. 4 at 6. The Order warned that failure to comply may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed. *Id.* More than 30 days have passed, and Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. **Plaintiff shall show cause, in writing, within 14 days**, why the failure to file an

1 amended complaint should not result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed
2 based on the pleading defects set forth in the prior order (ECF No. 4).

3 2. Plaintiff may respond by filing an amended complaint that complies with the Court's
4 prior order.

5 3. If Plaintiff fails to respond, the court will recommend dismissal of this case. *See* Fed.
6 R. Civ. P. 41(b); Local Rule 110.

7 4. Alternatively, if Plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this action, Plaintiff may file a
8 notice of voluntary dismissal pursuant to Rule 41 of the Federal Rules of Civil
9 Procedure.

10 SO ORDERED.

11 DATED: August 25, 2025

12
13 
14 SEAN C. RIORDAN
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28